



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,603	07/18/2003	Edwin A. Hallberg	02008678	4299
26565	7590	06/10/2005		EXAMINER
				NGUYEN, CHI Q
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,603	HALLBERG, EDWIN A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chi Q Nguyen	3635	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ . 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the applicant's amendment filed on 3/3/05.

Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: the cited limitation "the caster type" does not have antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson (US 2,771,937).

In regard claims 1, 2, Wilson teaches a folding platform structure comprising a first platform 2, and a second platform panel 3. Each of the platform panels having deck 10 and support frame 9, and the first platform panel is pivotally connected to a second platform panel at 29, the second platform panel further being pivotally connected to a base assembly at 62 wherein when the first and second platform panels are in a position for use substantially parallel to a ground surface (see fig. 2) the second platform panel supported by a plurality of engaging support and by the second platform panel; and wherein when the first and second platform panels are pivoted to a storage position substantially perpendicular to the ground surface the respective upper surface of the first and second platform panels face one another (figures 2 and 5).

In regard claims 3-7, Wilson teaches the claimed invention as stated wherein further teaches a lock member 33 includes a catch for engaging a pin on the opposite frame member serves as a first platform panel restraint/lock for disengaged prior to folding and unfolding and engaged (see col. 3), a second platform panel restraints 34 prevent the second platform panel from being pivoted to a position substantially parallel to the ground surface if the first platform panel is still in the storage position and connected to the first platform panel and engaged the base assembly via frame members 28 (fig. 1).

In regard claims 12-14, Wilson teaches the claimed invention as stated wherein further comprising a caster assembly 23 including wheels, and a lock stop 33.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson in view Wagner.

In regard claims 8-10, Wilson teaches the claimed invention as stated except for the legs are having adjustable in length, which comprise telescopic leg assemblies.

Wagner teaches folding stage including a ground engaging supports 28 having inner telescoping legs 80 extending outward from the upper outer legs 82 thus are adjustable in length (fig. 1). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to

one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wilson's leg assembly for Wagner leg assembly, which including telescoping legs for adjusting in length. The motivation for doing so would have been to raise or lower table for intended use.

In regard claim 15-20 method of assembly, Wilson and Wagner teach the structural elements for the foldable stage as set forth. However, Wilson and Wagner do not teach expressly the method steps from storage position to utilizing position as claimed by the applicant, examiner considers this to be the obvious method step of setting up device because in utilizing a foldable stage, one must obviously roll to and position on applicable place, disengage platform panels retainers, permit platform panels straight, lower ground engaging members or legs and adjust desirable highs. Wilson and Wagner would be motivated to follow these steps to facilitate assembly of a foldable stage.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

6847, Mon-Thu (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (571) 272-6842. The examiner's right fax number is (571) 273-6847.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pairdirect.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197.

Naoko Slack
CQN
05/29/05

Naoko Slack
Naoko Slack
Primary Examiner